

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,776	02/03/2004	Kenichi Shiba	Q79666	9279
23373	7590 04/25/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			CHEN, WEN YING PATTY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/769,776	SHIBA, KENICHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wen-Ying P. Chen	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stationary and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sometime of the drawing(s) is a certion is required if the drawing(s) is a certion is required.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. □ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the properties of the proper	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	0 □ 000 000 000	(PTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ary (PTO-413) Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/769,776

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kan-o (US 6525790).

Kan-o discloses in Figure 1 a liquid crystal display module with display position mechanism comprising: a first casing body (element 1); a second casing body (element 2); a base (element 40) which is fixed to the first casing body (Column 9, lines 25-29); a provisional fixing member (element 5) which attaches the display to the base and comprises a stepped screw (element 6); and a positioning portion (element 44), wherein is made to have resiliency for restricting movement of the display and to push the display against an inner face of the second casing (Column 9, lines 10-11). The resilient member if formed integrally with the frame portion (element 4, which comprises element 41 with projections element 44).

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kan-o (US 6525790) in view of Higdon et al. (US 6148183).

Kan-o discloses in Figure 1 all of the limitations set forth in claim 1, and further discloses that the crystal display module with display position mechanism to include a plurality of projections (element 44) capable of restricting movement of the display in all directions (Column 9, lines 34-38). Nevertheless, Kan-o does not disclose that the projections to be provided by the second casing body and that the projections are formed integrally with the second casing body. However, Higdon et al. disclose in Figure 4 a second casing body (element 104) providing a plurality of projections (elements 290 and 404), wherein the projections are formed integrally with the second casing body (Column 4, lines 49-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2871

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to form a plurality of projections integrally with the second casing body as taught by Higdon et al. in the display position mechanism taught by Kan-o so that the manufacturing process would be made easier with less parts.

Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kan-o (US 6525790) in view of Lee (US 6665025).

Kan-o discloses all of the limitations set forth in claim 8, but does not disclose a base comprising a reference potential terminal and a reference potential-receiving terminal for electrically contacting with the reference potential terminal. However, Lee discloses in Figure 4 a base (element 200) comprising a reference potential terminal (element 212') and a reference potential-receiving terminal (element 270), which could also be the same as the reference potential terminal, in order to maintain a reference potential of the display (Column 4, lines 11-14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include a reference potential terminal and the reference potential-receiving terminal as taught by Lee in the display position mechanism taught by Kan-o since Lee teaches that by having a reference potential terminal and the reference potential-receiving terminal electromagnetic wave interference can be minimized, and therefore, enhance a product's reliability (Column 2, lines 28-30).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kan-o (US 6525790) and Lee (US 6665025) in view of Sun (US 6226535).

Application/Control Number: 10/769,776

Art Unit: 2871

Kan-o and Lee disclose all of the limitations set forth in claim 10, but they do not disclose the resilient member with functionality as a reference potential-receiving terminal. However, Sun discloses in Figure 4 a resilient member (element 20) that is capable of functioning as a reference potential-receiving terminal (Column 2, lines 59-62; Column 3, lines 49-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to form the resilient member and the reference potential-receiving terminal taught by Sun in the display position mechanism taught by Kan-o and Lee so that with the resilient member and the reference potential-receiving terminal being one piece, the number of parts can be reduced while still maintaining the original functions.

Relative Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 4367467.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen-Ying P. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-8444. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/769,776 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wen-Ying P Chen

Examiner

Art Unit 2871

wpc

ROBERT H. KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800